### Population health profile of the

#### **Limestone Coast**

#### **Division of General Practice**

Population Profile Series: No. 95

#### **PHIDU**

November 2005







#### Copyright

#### © Commonwealth of Australia 2005

This work may be reproduced and used subject to acknowledgement of the source of any material so reproduced.

#### National Library of Australia Cataloguing in Publication entry

Population health profile of the Limestone Coast Division of General Practice.

Bibliography. ISBN 0 7308 9503 3.

1. Public health - South Australia, Southeastern - Statistics. 2. Health status indicators - South Australia, Southeastern - Statistics. 3. Health service areas – South Australia, Southeastern. 4. South Australia, Southeastern - Statistics, Medical. I. Public Health Information Development Unit (Australia). II. Australia. Dept. of Health and Ageing. III. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (Series: Population profile series, 1833-0452; no. 95).

362.10994234

ISSN 1833-0452 Population Profile Series

#### Public Health Information Development Unit, The University of Adelaide A Collaborating Unit of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

This profile was produced by PHIDU, the Public Health Information Development Unit at The University of Adelaide, South Australia. The work was funded under a grant from the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing. The views expressed in this profile are solely those of the authors and should not be attributed to the Department of Health and Ageing or the Minister for Health and Ageing.

The data in this report are designed to be used for needs assessment and planning purposes: while they are based on the best available data and analytic processes, data available by postcode or Statistical Local Area, as used in this report, cannot be precisely translated to Division. Division totals in the report should, therefore, be seen as estimates. Interpretation of differences between data in this profile and similar data from other sources needs to be undertaken with care, as such differences may be due to the use of different methodology to produce the data.

Suggested citation:

PHIDU. (2005) Population health profile of the Limestone Coast Division of General Practice. Population Profile Series: No. 95. Public Health Information Development Unit (PHIDU), Adelaide.

Enquiries about or comments on this publication should be addressed to:

PHIDU, The University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005 Phone: 08-8303 6237 or e-mail: PHIDU@publichealth.gov.au

This publication, the maps and supporting data, together with other publications on population health, are available from the PHIDU website (<a href="https://www.publichealth.gov.au">www.publichealth.gov.au</a>).

Published by Public Health Information Development Unit, The University of Adelaide

Contributors: Anthea Page, Sarah Ambrose, Liz Fisher, Kristin Leahy and John Glover

#### Population health profile of the

#### Limestone Coast Division of General Practice

#### Introduction

This profile has been designed to provide a description of the population Limestone Coast Division General Practice, and aspects of their health. purpose is to provide information to support a population health approach, which aims to improve the health of the entire population and to reduce health inequalities among population aroups: а more detailed discussion of a population health approach is provided in the supporting information, page 16.

#### Contents

The profile includes a number of tables, maps and graphs to profile population health in the Division and provides comparisons with other areas (eg. country South Australia and Australia). Specific topics covered include:

- a socio-demographic profile (pages 2-5);
- GP workforce data (page 6);
- immunisation rates (page 6);
- rates of premature death (page 7); and
- estimates of the prevalence of chronic disease and selected risk factors (pages 8-12).

#### **Key indicators**

**Location**: South Australia

**Division number**: 510

Population‡: No. %

Total 62,978 65+ 8,440 13.4% <25 21,336 33.9%

Indigenous 721 1.2%

Disadvantage score<sup>1</sup>: 983

#### GP services per head of population:

Division‡ 3.3 Australia 4.7

#### Population per FTE GP:

Division‡ 1,631 Australia 1,403

#### Premature death rate<sup>2</sup>:


Division‡ 319.3 Australia 290.4

- <sup>1</sup> Numbers below 1000 (the index score for Australia) indicate the Division is relatively disadvantaged
- <sup>2</sup> Deaths at ages 0 to 74 years per 100,000 population
- \*See note "Data converters and mapping" re calculation of Division Total

#### Limestone Coast Division of General Practice

#### SA Divisions of General Practice

#### Limestone Coast DGP by SLA




#### Socio-demographic profile

#### Population


The Limestone Coast Division had an Estimated Resident Population of 62,978 at 30 June 2004.

Figure 1: Annual population change, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia<sup>1</sup>, South Australia and Australia, 1991 to 1996, 1996 to 2001 and 2001 to 2004



Over the five years from 1991 to 1996, there was no change in the Division's population, compared with small increases in country South Australia (0.3%) and South Australia (0.4%). From 1996 to 2001, the Division's population was again static, with increases in country South Australia (0.4%) and South Australia (0.6%). The Division's growth rate of 0.2% per year on average from 2001 to 2004 was less than the annual increases for country South Australia (0.5%) and South Australia (0.4%).

Figure 2: Population in Limestone Coast DGP‡ and Australia, by age and sex, 2004



There are few differences in the age distribution of the Division's population (in particular at older ages) when compared to Australia overall. The most notable are:

- at younger ages a higher proportion of children aged 0 to 14 years;
- from 15 to 34 years lower proportions of both males and females; and
- from 45 to 54 years a higher proportion of males.

Table 1: Population by age, Limestone Coast DGP‡ and Australia, 2004

Age group (years)	Limestone Coast DGP		Austra	lia
_	No.	%	No.	%
0-14	13,665	21.7	3,978,751	19.8
15-24	7,671	12.2	2,762,769	13.8
25-44	17,506	27.8	5,881,048	29.3
45-64	15,696	24.9	4,864,037	24.2
65-74	4,407	7.0	1,374,792	6.8
75-84	3,029	4.8	934,505	4.7
85+	1,004	1.6	295,602	1.5
Total	62,978	100.0	20,091,504	100.0

As shown in the age-sex pyramid above, the Limestone Coast DGP had a higher proportion of children aged 0 to 14 years (21.7%) compared to Australia as a whole (with 19.8%) (Table 1). Conversely, the proportions of the Division's population aged 15 to 24 years (12.2%) and 25 to 44 years (27.8%) were lower than for Australia (with 13.8% and 29.3%).

The Limestone Coast DGP comprised 3.1% of people born in predominantly non-English speaking countries and resident in Australia for five years or more (Table 2), less than in country South Australia (3.6%) or Australia (10.8%). Recent arrivals (those resident in Australia for less than five years) from non-English speaking countries comprised 0.2% of the Division's population, slightly less than country South Australia (0.3%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>References to 'country South Australia' relate to South Australia excluding the Adelaide Statistical Division

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals on this page

Of these residents, 0.3% had poor proficiency in English (determined when people aged five years and over born overseas in predominantly non-English speaking countries reported in the Census speaking another language and speaking English 'not well' or 'not at all'), a lower proportion than for country South Australia (0.4%), South Australia (0.4%), and Australia (0.4%).

Table 2: Non-English speaking born, Limestone Coast DGP, country South Australia, South Australia and Australia, 2001

People born in predominantly non-	Limest Coast I		Country	SA	South Aus	tralia	Austra	lia
English speaking countries	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Resident in Australia for five years or more	1,873	3.1	14,103	3.6	129,414	8.8	2,019,410	10.8
Resident in Australia for less than five years	137	0.2	1,124	0.3	17,145	1.2	408,074	2.2
Poor proficiency in English <sup>1</sup>	142	0.3	1,397	0.4	24,927	1.8	425,399	2.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Calculated on persons aged 5 years and over who reported speaking another language and speaking English 'not well' or 'not at all'

#### Major non-English speaking birthplaces, Limestone Coast DGP, 2001

Australian-born people comprised 91.8% of the Division's population, well above the Australian figure of 72.6%. Of the 4.8% of people from English speaking countries, 3.6% were from the UK and Eire. The major birthplaces of the non-English speaking population include The Netherlands (0.7%); Italy (0.6%); Germany (0.5%); and the Philippines (0.2%); all other birthplaces of non-English speaking populations represented 0.1% or less of the Division's population.

#### Socioeconomic status

The indicators presented in this section describe geographic variations in the distribution of the population for a number of key socioeconomic influences, which impact on the health and wellbeing of populations.

The Limestone Coast DGP had a slightly lower proportion of single parent families (8.9%) and a markedly lower proportion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders (1.2%) compared to country South Australia as a whole (with 9.7% and 3.5%, respectively) (Figure 3, Table 3).

Full-time secondary school education participation of 16 year olds living in the Division (78.7%) was marginally higher than rate in country South Australia (78.3%).


A lower proportion of the Division's households received rent assistance from Centrelink (9.0%) compared to country South Australia (10.5%) and South Australia (12.0%), but more dwellings were rented from the State housing authority (7.2%) compared to (6.7%). The proportion of dwellings with no access to motor vehicle (6.6%) was slightly lower than in country South Australia (7.4%), and lower than the rate for South Australia (9.9%).


The Division had similar proportions of the population who reported using, at home, a computer (37.1%), and the Internet (20.0%) compared to country South Australia (36.3% and 19.9%).

These socioeconomic indicators show the Division to comprise a population of near-average socioeconomic status: see also the note on page 5 (Summary of socioeconomic ranking).


Figure 3: Socio-demographic indicators, Limestone Coast DGP, country South Australia, South Australia and Australia, 2001

Note the different scales







#### Indigenous‡




#### Education participation at age 16‡




#### Unemployment rate (June 2003)‡




#### Households receiving rent assistance & Dwellings rented from State housing authority




#### Dwellings with no motor vehicle



#### Computer use at home



#### Internet use at home



<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

Table 3: Socio-demographic indicators, Limestone Coast DGP, country South Australia, South Australia and Australia, 2001

Indicator	Limestone	Coast	Country	Country SA		South Aus	stralia	Austra	Australia	
	No.	%	No.	%		No.	%	No.	%	
Single parent families	1,462	8.9	10,351	9.7		43,741	11.0	529,969	10.7	
Indigenous‡	721	1.2	13,602	3.5		25,542	1.7	458,261	2.4	
Full-time secondary school education at age 16‡	684	78.7	4,410	78.3		16,341	80.1	130,198	78.7	
Households: rent assistance	2,043	9.0	15,170	10.5		68,260	12.0	1,006,599	15.0	
Dwellings: rented from the	1,676	7.2	10,290	6.7		44,684	7.7	317,171	4.5	
State housing authority										
Dwellings: no motor vehicle	1,541	6.6	11,317	7.4		58,065	9.9	708,073	10.0	
Computer use at home	22,276	37.1	142,671	36.3		594,355	40.7	7,881,983	42.0	
Internet use at home	12,139	20.0	78,739	19.9		375,604	25.6	2,019,410	27.7	

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division total

The unemployment rate of 4.1% in Limestone Coast DGP was markedly lower than the rates for country South Australia (6.1%) and South Australia (6.5%) (Figure 3, Table 4). The labour force participation rate (82.0%) and the female labour force participation rate (72.4%) were higher than those for country South Australia (75.2% and 69.1%) and South Australia (75.3% and 70.4%).

Table 4: Unemployment and labour force participation, Limestone Coast DGP, country South Australia, South Australia and Australia, 2003

Labour force indicators	Limestone Coast		Country	try SA South A		tralia	Austra	Australia	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Unemployment rate‡	1,393	4.1	11,828	6.1	49,292	6.5	623,791	6.2	
Labour force participation:	33,589	82.0	192,901	75.2	761,964	75.3	10,038,147	75.2	
Female labour force	10,368	72.4	62,392	69.1	254,312	70.4	3,306,521	69.7	
participation (2001)									

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division total

#### Summary of the socioeconomic ranking of the Limestone Coast DGP


Following the 2001 Census, the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) produced four socio-economic indexes for areas (SEIFA) which describe aspects of the socioeconomic profile of populations in areas. The scores for these indexes for each Statistical Local Area (SLA) or part SLA in Limestone Coast DGP are shown in the supporting information, Table 9, page 16: SLAs are described on page 17.

The Limestone Coast DGP area's Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage (IRSD) score is 983, slightly (1.7%) below the average score for Australia (1000) and just above the score for country South Australia (981); this highlights the near-average socioeconomic status profile of the Limestone Coast DGP population. Variations in the IRSD within the Division are shown in at the SLA level in Map 1.

Map 1: Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage by SLA, Limestone Coast DGP, 2001

See note under 'Methods' re Data converters and mapping concerning SLAs mapped to the Division. This is of particular relevance where part of an SLA is mapped to the Division.





<sup>#</sup> data were not mapped: see note under 'Methods' re Data converters and mapping.

#### General medical practitioner (GP) supply

A total of 38.6 full-time equivalent (FTE) GPs and 39.2 full-workload equivalent (FWE<sup>2</sup>) GPs worked in the Division in 2003/04 (Table 5). Of the FWE GPs, 20.4% were female, and 17.2% were over 55 years of age (compared to 23.4% and 30.5%, respectively, for South Australia).

Apart from the day-time population, the rates of population per FTE GP varied, depending on the population measure used, from a high of 1,631 people per GP (calculated on the average Estimated Resident Population (ERP) as at 30 June 2003 and 2004), to a low of 1,560 people per GP (calculated on the 1 August 2001 Census count – all people counted in the Division on Census night, including visitors from Australia and overseas). The rates of population per FWE GP were lower, ranging from 1,538 (calculated on the Census count) to 1,607 (calculated on the ERP).

When calculated on the estimated day-time population, the rates of population in the Division were 2.5% below those calculated on the Usual Resident Population (usual residents of the Division counted in Australia on Census night).

Based on the ERP, the rates of population per GP in Limestone Coast DGP were notably higher than the rates for South Australia and Australia, indicating a lower level of provision of GP services in the Division.

Table 5: Population per GP in Limestone Coast DGP, South Australia and Australia, 2003/04

Population measure	Population	GPs		Populatio	Population per GP	
		FTE	FWE	FTE	FWE	
Limestone Coast DGP						
Census count (adjusted)*	60,246	38.6	39.2	1,560	1,538	
Usual Resident Population (URP) (adjusted)*	61,026			1,580	1,558	
Estimated Resident Population (ERP)	62,982			1,631	1,607	
Day-time population (estimated on URP)*‡	59,488		••	1,540	1,518	
South Australia (ERP)	1,530,276	1,181	1,354	1,296	1,130	
Australia (ERP)	19,989,303	14,246	16,872	1,403	1,185	

<sup>\*</sup> The Census count, Usual Resident Population and Day-time population were adjusted to reflect population change between 2001 and 2003/04, as measured by the ERP

#### **Immunisation**

Data from the Australian Childhood Immunisation Register show that 94.7% of children in the Division in 2002 were fully immunised at age one, marginally above the Australian proportion of 94.2%.

Immunisation by provider type for children between the ages of 0 to 6 is shown in Table 6. The proportion of children in the Division who were immunised by a general practitioner was 73.4%, similar to the rate for Australia (70.0%), with 19.1% provided at a local government council and 6.3% at a community health centre, or by a community health worker.

Table 6: Childhood immunisation at ages 0 to 6 by provider type, Limestone Coast DGP and Australia, 2003/04

Provider	Limestone Coast DGP	Australia
	%	%
General practitioner	73.4	70.0
Local government council	19.1	16.6
Community health centre/ worker	6.3	9.8
Public hospital	1.2	2.1
Aboriginal health service/ worker	0.0	0.9
Other*	0.0	0.6
Total: Per cent	100.0	100.0
Number	13,290	3,843,610

<sup>\*</sup> Includes immunisations in/ by State Health Departments, RFDS and private hospitals

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FWE value is calculated for each GP location by dividing the GP's total Medicare billing (Schedule fee value of services provided during the reference period) by the mean billing of full-time doctors in that derived major speciality for the reference period. Thus, a GP earning 20% more than the mean billing of full-time doctors is shown as 1.2 FWE: this differs from full-time equivalent (FTE) counts, where the FTE value of any GP cannot exceed 1.0

#### Premature mortality

Deaths at ages below 75 years are used as an indicator of health status, as they largely reflect premature deaths, given the current levels of life expectancy in Australia.

The 'all causes' death rate in the Division at ages 0 to 74 years (319.3 deaths per 100,000 population) is marginally higher than in country South Australia (318.6) and above that for Australia (290.4): the rates have been age standardised to allow for comparisons between areas, regardless of differences in age profiles between the Division and Australia.


The major causes of premature mortality in the Division are cancer and diseases of the circulatory and respiratory system (Figure 4). With the exception of cancer of the trachea, bronchus and lung and the 'other causes' group, the rates of premature mortality for the major conditions and selected causes listed are higher than the rates for Australia as a whole. There is no clear comparison between rates in the Division and rates in country South Australia.

The data on which the following chart is based are in Table 11.

Figure 4: Deaths before 75 years of age by major condition group and selected cause, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia and Australia, 2000-02\*

Indirectly age standardised rate per 100,000 population

Limestone Coast DGP Country SA Australia



<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;No.' is the total number of deaths for the 2000-02 period; 'Rate' is an annual rate, based on the 3 year average ‡ See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

#### Chronic diseases and risk factors

The term "chronic disease" describes health problems that persist across time and require some degree of health care management (WHO 2002). Chronic diseases tend to have complex causes, are often long lasting and persistent in their effects, and can produce a range of complications (Thacker et al. 1995). They are responsible for a significant proportion of the burden of disease and illness in Australia and other westernised countries. Given the ageing of the population, this trend is likely to continue.

At different life stages, risk factors for chronic diseases and their determinants include genetic predisposition; poor diet and lack of exercise; alcohol misuse and tobacco smoking; poor intrauterine conditions; stress, violence and traumatic experiences; and inadequate living environments that fail to promote healthy lifestyles (NPHP 2001). Risk factors are also more prevalent in areas of low socioeconomic status, and in communities characterised by low levels of educational attainment; high levels of unemployment; substantial levels of discrimination, interpersonal violence and exclusion; and poverty. There is a higher prevalence of risk factors among Indigenous communities, and other socioeconomically disadvantaged Australians (NPHP 2001).

#### Background

In this section, estimates of the prevalence of selected chronic diseases and risk factors, and two summary measures of health, are shown for the Division‡, and for non-remote, SLAs within the Division: note that the estimates have been predicted from self-reported data, and are not based on clinical records or physical measures. The chronic diseases and risk factors are those for which sufficiently reliable estimates can be made for the Division from national survey data. The process by which the estimates have been made, and details of their limitations, are described in the Notes section, pages 14-15. The data on which the following charts are based are in Table 12.

The estimates provide information of relevance to a number of the National Health Priority Areas (NHPAs – asthma; cardiovascular health; diabetes mellitus; injury prevention and control; mental health; and arthritis and musculoskeletal conditions: estimates have not been made for cancer control, the other NHPA). The risk factors for which estimates have been made are those which are accepted as being associated with these important chronic conditions. They are overweight (not obese), obesity, smoking, lack of exercise and high-risk alcohol use.

The numbers are estimates for an area, not measured events as are death statistics: they should be used as indicators of likely levels (and not actual levels) of a condition or risk factor in an area.

#### Prevalence estimates: chronic disease±

It is estimated that, with the exceptions of circulatory system diseases, injury and musculoskeletal system diseases, similar numbers or fewer people in Limestone Coast DGP reported having any of the listed conditions compared to Australia as a whole (Figure 5): that is, the prevalence rates per 1,000 population were similar or lower.


#### Prevalence estimates: self-reported health;

The NHS includes two measures of self-reported health. One is the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale-10 items (K–10). This is a scale of non-specific psychological distress based on 10 questions about negative emotional states in the four weeks prior to interview, asked of respondents 18 years and over (ABS 2002). The other asks respondents aged 15 years and over to rate their health on a scale from 'excellent', through 'very good', 'good' and 'fair', to 'poor' health.

The population of the Division aged 18 years and over is estimated to have fewer people with very high psychological distress levels as measured by the K–10 compared to Australia (Figure 6). Conversely, the proportion of the population aged 15 years and over estimated to have reported their health as 'fair' or 'poor' is above the national average.

Figure 5: Estimates\* of chronic disease and injury, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia and Australia, 2001


Indirectly age standardised rate per 1,000 population



<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;No.' is a weighted estimate of the number of people in Limestone Coast DGP reporting each chronic condition and is derived from synthetic predictions from the 2001 NHS

Figure 6: Estimates\* of measures of self-reported health by SLA, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia and Australia, 2001

*Indirectly age standardised rate per 1,000 population* 



<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;No.' is a weighted estimate of the number of people in Limestone Coast DGP reporting under these measures and is derived from synthetic predictions from the 2001 NHS

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kessler 10


 $<sup>\</sup>ddagger$  See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

#### Prevalence estimates: risk factors‡

The Limestone Coast DGP is estimated to have higher rates (when compared with the Australian population) for all of the selected risk factors except for obesity in males (Figure 7). The generally higher rates are consistent with the socioeconomic status profile of the Division.

Figure 7: Estimates\* of selected risk factors, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia, and Australia, 2001

Indirectly age standardised rate per 1,000 population



<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;No.' is a weighted estimate of the number of people in Limestone Coast DGP with these risk factors and has been predicted using data from the 2001 NHS and known data for the Division

The following maps provide details of the geographic distribution, at the SLA level, of the estimated prevalence of chronic disease (Map 2), self-reported health (Map 3) and risk factors associated with chronic disease (Map 4).

In the following maps, users should note that the estimates shown for part SLAs in the Division (see Table 10, page 17, for per cent of SLA population in the Division) represent the estimates for the whole SLA, and not just the part shown. However, SLAs with only a small proportion of their population in the Division are likely to have little influence on the total estimates for the Division, which have been based on the percentage of the SLA population in the Division.

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

Map 2: Estimates\* of chronic disease and injury by SLA, Limestone Coast DGP, 2001

Respiratory system diseases:

## Respiratory system diseases Diabetes type 2




# **Asthma**

diseases

Circulatory system





Musculoskeletal system diseases



Musculoskeletal system diseases: Arthritis



Arthritis: Osteoarthritis




Arthritis: Rheumatoid arthritis








Per cent difference from Australian average



The estimates are synthetic predictions of the prevalence of these conditions: see Notes on the data.

<sup>#</sup> Data not mapped: see Notes on the data.

Map 3: Estimates\* of measures of self-reported health by SLA, Limestone Coast DGP, 2001





10% or more above

<sup>5%</sup> to less than 10% above within 5% of the average 5% to less than 10% below 10% or more below not mapped#

<sup>\*</sup> The estimates are synthetic predictions of the prevalence of these conditions: see Notes on the data.

<sup>#</sup> Data not mapped: see Notes on the data.

#### Notes on the data

#### Data sources and limitations

#### General

References to 'country South Australia' relate to South Australia excluding the Adelaide Statistical Division.

#### **Data sources**

Table 7 details the data sources for the material presented in this profile.

Table 7: Data sources

Section	Source
Key indicators	
GP services per head of population	GP services data supplied by Department of Health and Ageing, 2003/04 Population data: Estimated Resident Population, ABS, mean of 30 June 2003 and 30 June 2004 populations
Socio-demographic profile	
Figures 1 and 2; Table 1	Estimated Resident Population, ABS, 30 June for the periods shown
Tables 2, 3 and 4; Figure 3	<ul> <li>Data were extracted by postal area from the ABS Population Census 2001<sup>1</sup>, except for the following indicators:</li> <li>Indigenous – Experimental estimates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, ABS 2001 (unpublished)</li> <li>Full-time secondary education participation at age 16 – Census 2001 (unpublished)</li> <li>Households receiving rent assistance – Centrelink, December Quarter 2001 (unpublished)</li> <li>Unemployment rate / Labour force participation – extracted from Small Area Labour Markets Australia, June Quarter 2003, Department of Employment and Workplace Relations</li> </ul>
Map 1; Table 9	ABS SEIFA package, Census 2001
General medical practitione	r (GP) supply
Table 5	GP data supplied by Department of Health and Ageing, 2003/04
	Population estimates used in calculating the population per GP rates are the:  - Census count <sup>2</sup> , ABS Population Census 2001, scaled to 2003/04  - Usual Resident Population <sup>3</sup> , ABS Population Census 2001, scaled to 2003/04  - Day-time population: calculated from journey to work data, ABS Population Census (URP) 2001 (unpublished); and 2001 Census URP, scaled to 2003/04  - Estimated Resident Population, ABS, June 2003/2004
Immunisation	
Text comment 1 year olds	National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance, 2002
Table 6	Australian Childhood Immunisation Register, Health Insurance Commission, 2003/04 (unpublished)
Premature mortality	
Figure 4; Table 11	ABS Deaths, 2000 to 2002
Chronic diseases and assoc	iated risk factors <sup>4</sup>
Figures 5, 6 and 7; Maps 2, 3 and 4; Table 12	Estimated from 2001 National Health Survey (NHS), ABS (unpublished)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All data extracted from Usual Residents Profile, except for data variables only released in the Basic Community Profile

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Census count - those counted in the Division on Census night, including tourists, business people and other visitors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Usual Resident Population* - those who usually live there and who were in Australia at the time and would have provided details in the Census at the address where they were counted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See notes below

#### Chronic diseases and associated risk factors

The data for chronic conditions and risk factors for SLAs have been estimated from the 2001 National Health Survey (NHS), conducted by the ABS: see note below on synthetic estimates. The NHS sample includes the majority of people living in private households, but excludes the most remote areas of Australia. These areas cover 86.4% of Australia's land mass and comprise just 3% of the total population, however, 28% of Australia's Indigenous population live in these areas. Thus it has not been possible to produce these estimates for Divisions with relatively high proportions of their population in the most remote areas of Australia.

The data for chronic conditions and risk factors are self-reported data, reported to interviewers in the 2001 NHS. Table 8 includes notes relevant to this data.

Table 8: Notes on estimates of chronic diseases and associated risk factors

Indicator	Notes on the data
Estimates of chronic diseas	e and injury (Figure 5 and Map 2)
Long term conditions	<ul> <li>Respondents were asked whether they had been diagnosed with any long term health condition (a condition which has lasted or is expected to last for 6 months or more), and were also asked whether they had been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma, cancer, heart and circulatory conditions, and/or diabetes</li> </ul>
Injury event	- Injuries which occurred in the four weeks prior to interview
Estimates of measures of s	elf-reported health (Figure 6 and Map 3)
Very high psychological distress levels (K10)	- Derived from the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale-10 items (K-10), which is a scale of non-specific psychological distress based on 10 questions about negative emotional states in the 4 weeks prior to interview. 'Very high' distress is the highest level of distress category (of a total of four categories)
Fair or poor self-assessed health status	- Respondent's general assessment of their own health, against a five point scale from excellent through to poor – 'fair' or 'poor' being the two lowest in the scale
Estimates of selected risk for	actors (Figure 7 and Map 4)
Overweight (not obese)	<ul> <li>Based on self-reported height and weight; BMI calculated and grouped into categories (to allow reporting against both WHO and NHMRC guidelines) - overweight: 25.0 to less than 30.0</li> </ul>
Obese	<ul> <li>Based on self-reported height and weight; BMI calculated and grouped into categories (to allow reporting against both WHO and NHMRC guidelines) – obese: 30.0 and greater</li> </ul>
Smokers	- Respondent's undertaking regular (or daily) smoking at the time of interview
Physical inactivity	<ul> <li>Did not exercise in the two weeks prior to interview through sport, recreation or fitness (including walking) – excludes incidental exercise undertaken for other reasons, such as for work or while engaged in domestic duties</li> </ul>
High health risk due to alcohol consumed	<ul> <li>Respondent's estimated average daily alcohol consumption in the seven days prior to interview (based on number of days and quantity consumed). Alcohol risk levels were grouped according to NHMRC risk levels for harm in the long term, with 'high risk' defined as a daily consumption of more than 75 ml for males and 50 ml for females</li> </ul>

Note: For a full description, refer to ABS 2001 National Health Survey, Cat. No. 4364.0 and ABS 2001 Health Risk Factors, Cat. No. 4812.0

#### Methods

#### Synthetic estimates

The estimates of the prevalence of chronic disease and associated risk factors have been predicted for a majority of SLAs across Australia, using modelled survey data collected in the 2001 ABS National Health Survey (NHS) and known characteristics of the area. A synthetic prediction can be interpreted as the likely value for a 'typical' area with those characteristics: the SLA is the area level of interest for this project (where SLAs had small populations they were grouped to larger areas). This work was undertaken by the Australian Bureau of Statistics, as they hold the NHS unit record files: the small area data were compiled by PHIDU.

The approach used is to undertake an analysis of the survey data for Australia to identify associations in the NHS data between the variables that we wish to predict at the area level (eg. prevalence of chronic conditions and risk factors) and the data we have at the area level (eg. socioeconomic status, use of health services). The relationship between these variables for which we have area level data (the predictors) and the reporting of chronic conditions in the NHS is also a part of the model that is developed by the ABS. For example, such associations might be between the number of people reporting specified chronic conditions in the NHS and:

- the number of hospital admissions (in total, to public and to private hospitals, by age, sex and diagnosis),
- socioeconomic status (as indicated by Census data, or for recipients of government pensions and benefits), and
- the number of visits to a general medical practitioner.

The results of the modelling exercise are then applied to the SLA counts of the predictors. The prediction is, effectively, the likely value for a typical area with those characteristics. The raw numbers were then age-standardised, to control for the effects of differences in the age profiles of areas.

The numbers are estimates for an area, not measured events as are death statistics: they should be used as indicators of likely levels of a condition or risk factor in an area.

#### Premature deaths

Details of deaths by SLA were purchased from the ABS. The raw numbers were then age-standardised, by the indirect method, to control for the effects of differences in the age profiles of areas.

#### Data converters and mapping

Conversion to Division of data available by postcode

The allocation of postcodes to Divisions was undertaken using information from the Department of Health and Ageing's web site, which shows the proportion of a postcode in a Division (see page 16).

Conversion to Division of data available by SLA

(marked in this profile as ‡ See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division total)

Where the data presented in these profiles were only available by SLA they have been converted to Division of General Practice areas using a concordance based on data at the 2001 Census. A copy of the concordance is included in the Population data: A Guide for Divisions of General Practice: it is also available from the Divisions' data area on PHIDU web site.

In brief, the concordance splits the data (eg number of deaths) for each SLA across one or more Divisions. The proportion of an SLA's data that is allocated to each Division was calculated from (a) CD level Census 2001 data that splits SLAs across approximations to postcodes (referred to as postal areas) and (b) data on the DoHA website that splits postcodes across Divisions. This concordance can be adjusted to meet any new configuration of Division boundaries based on the 2001 Collection Districts, or combinations thereof.

The estimated population of each SLA in this Division is shown in Table 10.

#### **Mapping**

In some Divisions the maps may include a very small part of an SLA which has not been allocated any population, or either has a population of less than 100 or has less than 1% of the SLA's total population: these areas are mapped with a pattern.

#### Supporting information

This and other information is also available at www.publichealth.gov.au.

#### A definition of population health

Population health, in the context of general practice, has been defined<sup>1</sup> as:

"The prevention of illness, injury and disability, reduction in the burden of illness and rehabilitation of those with a chronic disease. This recognises the social, cultural and political determinants of health. This is achieved through the organised and systematic responses to improve, protect and restore the health of populations and individuals. This includes both opportunistic and planned interventions in the general practice setting."

The key determinants of health are social support networks, employment and working conditions, social environments, physical environments, geographical isolation, personal health practices, healthy child development, ageing and disability, biology and genetic endowment, health services, gender and culture.

In the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander context this means that a population health approach to health services will assist in ensuring "that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people enjoy a healthy life equal to that of the general population, that is enshrined by a strong living culture, dignity and justice".<sup>2</sup> This recognises the importance of achieving improvements to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health and respects the particular health issues facing Indigenous people.

#### **SEIFA** scores

Following the 2001 Census, the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) produced four socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA). The indexes describe various aspects of the socioeconomic make-up of populations in areas, using data collected in the 2001 Census.

The Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage (labelled 'Disadvantage' in Table 9) includes all variables that either reflect or measure disadvantage. The Index of Advantage/Disadvantage is used to rank areas in terms of both advantage and disadvantage: any information on advantaged persons in an area will offset information on disadvantaged persons in the area. The Index of Economic Resources and the Index of Education and Occupation were targeted towards specific aspects of advantage/disadvantage.

For further information on the composition and calculation of these indexes see the ABS Information Paper ABS Cat No. 2039.0 available on the ABS web site <a href="www.abs.gov.au">www.abs.gov.au</a>. The scores for these indexes for each Statistical Local Area (SLA) or part SLA in Limestone Coast DGP are shown in Table 9.

In using this table, users should note that the index score shown for SLAs with less than 100 per cent in the Division represents the score for the whole SLA, and not just the part shown. However, SLAs with small proportions may have little influence on the average index score for the Division which has been based on the postcodes in the Division.

**SLA** SLA name Index score code (& per cent of SLA in the Division) Disadvantage Education & Economic Advantage Resources Occupation 42250 (100.0)1021 950 968 921 Grant 43360 Lacepede (100.0)1003 925 926 932 Mount Gambier 44620 (100.0)957 929 950 915 Naracoorte and Lucindale 929 45090 (100.0)1008 949 959 46860 Robe (100.0)1022 957 957 945 47630 Tatiara 1007 937 940 920 (100.0)48341 Wattle Range - East (100.0)993 940 968 910 48344 Wattle Range - West (100.0)958 910 937 883

Table 9: SEIFA scores by SLA, Limestone DGP, 2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "The role of general practice in population health – A Joint Consensus Statement of the General Practice Partnership Advisory Council and the National Public Health Partnership Group" (Joint Advisory Group on General Practice and Population Health 2001)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As defined in the Strategic Framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health

Proportions are approximate and are known to be incorrect in some cases, due to errors in the concordance used to allocate CDs to form postal areas

#### Statistical geography of the Limestone Coast DGP

The Limestone Coast DGP covers 21,328 square kilometres, based on 2001 SLA data.

The postcodes in the Division (all 100%) are: 5262, 5263, 5267, 5268, 5269, 5270, 5271, 5272, 5273, 5275, 5276, 5277, 5278, 5279, 5280, 8290, and 5291<sup>3</sup>.

Statistical Local Areas (SLAs) are defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics to produce areas for the presentation and analysis of data. In this Division, the District Council of Wattle Range comprises two SLAs – Wattle Range - East and Wattle Range - West. Both of these SLAs and all of the other SLAs listed in Table 10 comprise the Division.

Table 10: SLAs in Limestone Coast DGP by 2001 boundaries

SLA code	SLA name	Per cent of the SLA's population in the Division <sup>*</sup>	Estimate of the SLA's 2004 population in the Division
42250	Grant	100.0	8,058
43360	Lacepede	100.0	2,311
44620	Mount Gambier	100.0	23,616
45090	Naracoorte and Lucindale	100.0	8,240
46860	Robe	100.0	1,376
47630	Tatiara	100.0	7,097
48341	Wattle Range - East	100.0	3,185
48344	Wattle Range - West	100.0	9,095

<sup>\*</sup> Proportions are approximate and are known to be incorrect in some cases, due to errors in the concordance used to allocate CDs to form postal areas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As per the Department of Health and Ageing web site (accessed online version as at February 2005): http://www.health.gov.au/internet/wcms/publishing.nsf/Content/health-pcd-programs-divisions-divspc.htm

#### Supporting data

The data used in Figure 4 to illustrate the rates of premature mortality in the Division are shown below in Table 11.

Table 11: Deaths before 75 years of age by major condition group and selected cause, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia, and Australia, 2000-02\*

Indirectly age standardised rate per 100,000 population

Variable	Limestone Coast DGP‡			Country South Australia		Australia	
	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	
Circulatory system diseases	140	83.3	1,018	82.8	38,357	72.3	
Ischaemic heart disease	87	51.8	631	51.2	23,364	44.1	
Cerebrovascular disease – stroke	27	16.1	168	13.6	6,920	13.0	
Cancer	213	127.0	1,453	118.6	60,603	114.3	
Cancer of the trachea, bronchus & lung	32	19.1	296	23.9	12,715	24.0	
Respiratory system diseases	34	20.3	223	18.1	9,726	18.3	
Chronic lower respiratory disease	25	14.9	151	12.2	6,657	12.6	
Injuries and poisonings	69	41.7	488	45.8	18,573	35.0	
Suicide	27	16.4	145	13.6	6,706	12.6	
Motor vehicle accidents	27	16.6	183	17.6	5,014	9.5	
Other causes	80	47.2	637	54.2	26,735	50.4	
Diabetes mellitus	13	7.7	126	10.2	3,734	7.0	

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;No.' is the total number of deaths for the 2000-02 period; 'Rate' is an annual rate, based on the 3 year average

The rates used to illustrate the prevalence estimates of chronic disease and injury (Figure 5), measures of self-reported health (Figure 6), and selected risk factors (Figure 7), are shown in Table 12 below.

Table 12: Estimates of chronic disease and associated risk factors, Limestone Coast DGP‡, country South Australia, and Australia, 2001

Indirectly age standardised rate per 1,000 population

Variable	Limestone	Country	Australia
	Coast DGP‡	SA	
Chronic disease and injury (Figure 5)			
Respiratory system diseases	300.5	302.7	310.8
Asthma	94.7	96.8	118.3
Circulatory system diseases	182.4	182.2	171.5
Diabetes type 2	17.4	19.2	23.4
Injury event	128.4	128.9	121.2
Mental & behavioural disorders	88.3	98.3	97.6
Musculoskeletal system diseases	357.2	356.6	326.2
Arthritis	142.8	144.2	138.8
- Osteoarthritis	72.1	75.3	74.9
- Rheumatoid arthritis	24.8	25.3	23.6
Osteoporosis (females)	24.3	24.8	26.4
Measures of self-reported health (Figure 6)			
Very high psychological distress levels (18+ years)	33.7	38.8	36.6
Fair or poor self-assessed health status (15+ years)	199.7	204.1	184.0
Risk factors (Figure 7)			
Overweight (not obese) males (15+ years)	408.0	392.6	389.7
Obese males (15+ years)	138.3	141.4	145.9
Overweight (not obese) females (15+ years)	235.7	233.4	223.9
Obese females (15+ years)	198.8	196.8	148.0
Smokers (18+ years)	271.6	280.6	248.0
Physical inactivity (15+ years)	363.1	357.1	315.5
High health risk due to alcohol consumed (18+ years)	54.8	53.0	42.1

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

<sup>‡</sup> See note under 'Data converters and mapping' re calculation of Division totals

#### References

Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) (2002). 2001 National Health Survey: summary of results. Australia. (ABS Cat. No. 4364.0). Canberra: ABS.

National Public Health Partnership (NPHP) (2001). Preventing Chronic Disease: A Strategic Framework. Melbourne, Victoria.

Thacker S, Stroup D & Rothenberg R (1995). Public health surveillance for chronic conditions: a scientific basis for decisions. *Statistics in Medicine* 14: 629-641.

World Health Organization (2002). *The World Health Report 2002: Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life.* Geneva: World Health Organization.

#### Acknowledgements

Funding for these profiles was provided by the Population Health Division of the Department of Health and Ageing (DoHA). Assistance, by way of comment on the profiles and assistance in obtaining some datasets, has also been received from the Primary Care Division of the DoHA, the ABS and the ACIR.

#### Further developments and updates

Subject to agreement and funding, a number of developments could be undertaken:

 Details of hospitalisations potentially avoidable through ambulatory care interventions are currently being prepared and will be forwarded to Divisions (and posted on the PHIDU web site) when they are available. Other enhancements will be considered as appropriate datasets become available.

The profiles could be updated as the data are updated. For example:

- Population estimates, avoidable hospitalisations, immunisation, and GP activity and workforce data – annually;
- Chronic disease estimates three-yearly;
- Census data five-yearly.

Any developments would be informed by consultation, including with Divisions.

#### PHIDU contact details

For general comments, data issues or enquiries re information on the web site, please contact PHIDU:

Phone: 08-8303 6236 or e-mail: PHIDU@publichealth.gov.au